Today, the Reserve Bank released results of the $40^{\text {th }}$ round of the Order Books, Inventories and Capacity Utilisation Survey (OBICUS) for the quarter October-December 2017 covering 940 manufacturing companies. The survey provides a snapshot of demand conditions in the Indian manufacturing sector ${ }^{1}$.

## Highlights:

1) Capacity Utilisation (CU): At the aggregate level, $C U$ stood at 74.1 per cent in Q3:201718 with a coincident uptick in the de-trended index of industrial production ${ }^{2}$ (IIP) (Chart 1). Seasonally adjusted $C U$ also increased for the first time in $F Y: 17-18$ to reach 74.3 per cent in Q3:2017-18.

2) Order Books: New orders received by companies in Q3:2017-18 recorded a substantial growth over their levels in the previous quarter and also a year ago (Chart 2).

[^0]
3) Finished Goods Inventory (FGI) to Sales Ratio: A slight pile up in the finished goods inventory may reflect higher anticipated sales; the FGI to sales ratio declined marginally (Chart 3).
4) Raw Material Inventory (RMI) to Sales Ratio: RMI to sales ratio also declined from its elevated level seen in Q1:17-18.


| Table 1.1: IIP*-Manufacturing and Capacity Utilisation (CU) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quarter | Number of <br> responding <br> companies | Capacity Utilisation | IIP-Manufacturing <br> (Quarterly Average) | De-trended Quarterly <br> IIP-Manufacturing |
| Q3:2016-17 | 710 | 71.0 | 119.5 | -1.8 |
| Q4:2016-17 | 724 | 74.6 | 125.2 | 2.8 |
| Q1:2017-18 | 805 | 71.2 | 121.1 | -2.3 |
| Q2:2017-18 | 756 | 71.8 | 123.0 | -1.4 |
| Q3:2017-18 | 940 | 74.1 | 127.8 | 2.4 |

*: Index of Industrial Production (Base: 2011-12)

| Table 1.2: Order Books (Q3:2016-17 to Q3:2017-18) (Based on common set of 118 companies in 9 quarters)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount (₹ Billion) |  |  | Q-o-Q Growth (\%)*** |  |  | Y-o-Y Growth (\%) |  |  |
| Quarter | Average Backlog Orders | Average New Order Book | Average Pending Orders | Average Backlog Orders | Average New Order Book | Average Pending Orders | Average Backlog Orders | Average New Order Book | Average Pending Orders |
| Q3:2016-17 | 1.242 | 1.357 | 1.205 | -3.0 | 6.0 | -3.0 | -14.0 | -4.7 | -21.6 |
| Q4:2016-17 | 1.205 | 1.540 | 1.060 | -2.9 | 13.5 | -12.1 | -21.7 | 23.9 | -15.3 |
| Q1:2017-18 | 1.059 | 1.583 | 1.128 | -12.1 | 2.8 | 6.5 | -15.3 | 14.1 | -11.8 |
| Q2:2017-18 | 1.128 | 1.736 | 1.398 | 6.5 | 9.7 | 23.9 | -11.9 | 35.7 | 12.6 |
| Q3:2017-18 | 1.398 | 2.101 | 1.853 | 24.0 | 21.0 | 32.5 | 12.6 | 54.9 | 53.8 |

**: As required for calculating growth rates in recent 5 quarters.
***: Not seasonally adjusted

| Table 1.3: Average Sales and Inventories (Q3:2016-17 to Q3:2017-18) (Based on common set of 302 companies in 5 quarters) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount (₹ Billion) |  |  |  |  | Ratio (per cent) |  |  |
| Quarter | Average Sales | Average Total Inv | Average FG Inv | Average WiP Inv | Average RM Inv | Total Inv /Sales | FG Inv /Sales | RM Inv /Sales |
| Q3:2016-17 | 2.822 | 1.612 | 0.582 | 0.248 | 0.782 | 57.1 | 20.6 | 27.7 |
| Q4:2016-17 | 3.079 | 1.573 | 0.588 | 0.230 | 0.756 | 51.1 | 19.1 | 24.5 |
| Q1:2017-18 | 2.738 | 1.656 | 0.574 | 0.235 | 0.846 | 60.5 | 21.0 | 30.9 |
| Q2:2017-18 | 2.727 | 1.603 | 0.588 | 0.239 | 0.776 | 58.8 | 21.6 | 28.5 |
| Q3:2017-18 | 2.808 | 1.624 | 0.599 | 0.247 | 0.778 | 57.8 | 21.3 | 27.7 |

RM - Raw Material; WiP - Work in progress; FG - Finished Goods; Inv - Inventory.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The survey responses are voluntary and difference in sample composition may affect the results to some extent. The $39^{\text {th }}$ round of the OBICUS covering 756 manufacturing companies with reference period as July-September 2017 was released on the RBI website on February 07, 2018.
    ${ }^{2}$ IIP is calculated on a fixed base (currently 2011-12=100) whereas the denominator (viz. installed capacity) in CU is updated every quarter. For comparison, the trend component of IIP is removed.

