Annex 7

Master Direction DOR.FIN.HFC.CC.No.120/03.10.136/2020-21 dated February 17, 2021 - <u>Non-Banking Financial Company – Housing</u> <u>Finance Company (Reserve Bank) Directions, 2021</u>:

Sr. No.	Reference	Existing Extract	Amended text in RBI regulation (track change mode)
1	Paragraph Chapter	Current Exposure Method	Current Exposure Method (used for measuring capital charge
	IV Paragraph	6.3.8. The total credit exposure to a counterparty in respect	for default risk)
	6.3.10	of derivative transactions should be calculated according to	6.3.8. The total credit exposure to a counterparty in respect of
	Notes	the current exposure method as explained below.	derivative transactions should be calculated according to the
		The credit equivalent amount of a market related off-	current exposure method as explained below.
		balance sheet transaction calculated using the current	The credit equivalent amount of a market related off-balance
		exposure method is the sum of:	sheet transaction calculated using the current exposure
		a. current credit exposure; and	method is the sum of:
		b. potential future credit exposure of the contract.	a. current credit exposure; and
		6.3.9. Current credit exposure is defined as the sum of the	b. potential future credit exposure of the contract.
		gross positive mark-to-market value of all contracts with	6.3.9. Current credit exposure is defined as the sum of the
		respect to a single counterparty (positive and negative	gross positive mark-to-market value of all contracts with
		marked-to-market values of various contracts with the same	respect to a single counterparty (positive and negative
		counterparty shall not be netted). The current exposure	marked-to-market values of various contracts with the same
		method requires periodical calculation of the current credit	counterparty shall not be netted). The current exposure
		exposure by marking these contracts to market.	method requires periodical calculation of the current credit
		6.3.10. Potential future credit exposure is determined by	exposure by marking these contracts to market.
		multiplying the notional principal amount of each of these	6.3.10. Potential future credit exposure is determined by
		contracts, irrespective of whether the contract has a zero,	multiplying the notional principal amount of each of these

Sr. No.	Reference Paragraph		Existing Extract			Amended text in	RBI regulation (tra	ack change mode)
	U	positive or negative	mark-to-market va	alue by the relevant	(contracts, irrespectiv	ve of whether the	contract has a zero,
		add-on factor indica	ted below accordin	ng to the nature and		positive or negative r	mark-to-market val	ue by the relevant add-
		residual maturity of t	he instrument.			on factor indicated be	elow according to t	the nature and residual
		Credit Conversio	on Factors for inte	rest rate related,	1	maturity of the instru	ment.	
		exchange rate re	elated and gold rel	lated derivatives		Credit Conversio	on Factors for inte	erest rate related,
			Credit Convers	sion Factors (%)		exchange rate re	elated and gold re	lated derivatives
			Interest Rate	Exchange Rate			Credit Convers	sion Factors (%)
			Contracts	Contracts & Gold			Interest Rate	Exchange Rate
		One year or less	0.50	2.00			Contracts	Contracts & Gold
		Over one year to	1.00	10.00		One year or less	0.50	2.00
		five years Over five years	3.00	15.00		Over one year to five years	1.00	10.00
						Over five years	3.00	15.00
		Notes:						
		a. For contracts wit	h multiple exchang	ges of principal, the		Notes:		
		add-on factors are	to be multiplied	by the number of	1	a. For contracts with	multiple exchange	es of principal, the add-
		remaining payments	in the contract.			on factors are to be	e multiplied by the	number of remaining
		b. For contracts that	at are structured t	o settle outstanding		payments in the cont	tract.	
		exposure following s	specified payment of	dates and where the		b. For contracts th	at are structured	to settle outstanding
		terms are reset such	n that the market va	lue of the contract is		exposure following	specified payment	dates and where the
		zero on these speci	ified dates, the res	idual maturity would	1	terms are reset such	n that the market v	value of the contract is
		be set equal to the t	time until the next r	reset date. However,		zero on these specif	ied dates, the resi	dual maturity would be

Sr. No.	Reference Paragraph	Existing Extract	Amended text in RBI regulation (track change mode)
	~ .	in the case of interest rate contracts which have residual	set equal to the time until the next reset date. However, in the
		maturities of more than one year and meet the above	case of interest rate contracts which have residual maturities
		criteria, the CCF or add-on factor is subject to a floor of 1.0	of more than one year and meet the above criteria, the CCF
		per cent.	or add-on factor is subject to a floor of 1.0 per cent.
		c. No potential future credit exposure would be calculated	c. No potential future credit exposure would be calculated for
		for single currency floating/ floating interest rate swaps; the	single currency floating/ floating interest rate swaps; the credit
		credit exposure on these contracts would be evaluated	exposure on these contracts would be evaluated solely on the
		solely on the basis of their mark-to-market value.	basis of their mark-to-market value.
		d. Potential future exposures shall be based on 'effective'	d. Potential future exposures shall be based on 'effective'
		rather than 'apparent notional amounts'. In the event that	rather than 'apparent notional amounts'. In the event that the
		the 'stated notional amount' is leveraged or enhanced by the	'stated notional amount' is leveraged or enhanced by the
		structure of the transaction, the 'effective notional amount'	structure of the transaction, the 'effective notional amount'
		must be used for determining potential future exposure. For	must be used for determining potential future exposure. For
		example, a stated notional amount of USD 1 million with	example, a stated notional amount of USD 1 million with
		payments based on an internal rate of two times the lending	payments based on an internal rate of two times the lending
		rate of the applicable NBFC would have an effective	rate of the applicable NBFC would have an effective notional
		notional amount of USD 2 million.	amount of USD 2 million.
			6.3.10.A When effective bilateral netting contract as
		Credit conversion factors for Credit Default Swaps (CDS):	specified in 6.3.10.C are in place, RC will be the net
		6.3.11	replacement cost and the add-on will be ANet as calculated
			below:

Sr. No.	Reference Paragraph	Existing Extract	Amended text in RBI regulation (track change mode)
			(ai) Credit exposure on bilaterally netted forward
			transactions will be calculated as the sum of the net
			mark-to-market replacement cost, if positive, plus an
			add-on based on the notional underlying principal. The
			add-on for netted transactions (A _{Net}) will equal the
			weighted average of the gross add-on (A _{Gross}) and the
			gross add-on adjusted by the ratio of net current
			replacement cost to gross current replacement cost
			(NGR). This is expressed through the following
			formula:
			$A_{\text{Net}} = 0.4 \cdot A_{\text{Gross}} + 0.6 \cdot \text{NGR} \cdot A_{\text{Gross}}$
			where:
			NGR = level of net replacement cost/level of
			gross replacement cost for transactions
			subject to legally enforceable netting
			agreements ^{5A}
			<u>A_{Gross} = sum of individual add-on amounts</u>
			calculated by multiplying the notional
			principal amount by the appropriate add-
			on factors set out in the table in
			paragraph 6.3.10 and paragraph 6.3.11)

Sr.	Reference	Existing Extract	Amended text in RBI regulation (track change mode)
No.	Paragraph		
			of all transactions subject to legally
			enforceable netting agreements with one
			counterparty.
			(bii) For the purposes of calculating potential future
			exposure to a netting counterparty for forward foreign
			exchange contracts and other similar contracts in which
			the notional principal amount is equivalent to cash
			flows, the notional principal is defined as the net
			receipts falling due on each value date in each
			currency. The reason for this is that offsetting contracts
			in the same currency maturing on the same date will
			have lower potential future exposure as well as lower
			current exposure.
			Footnote 5A: NBFC-HFCs must calculate NGR on a
			counterparty by counterparty basis for all transactions
			that are subject to legally enforceable netting agreements.
			6.3.10.B Definitions and general terminology
			(a) Current Exposure is the larger of zero, or the market
			value of a transaction or portfolio of transactions within a
			netting set with a counterparty that would be lost upon the

Sr.	Reference	Existing Extract	Amended text in RBI regulation (track change mode)
No.	Paragraph		
			default of the counterparty, assuming no recovery on the
			value of those transactions in bankruptcy. Current exposure is
			often also called Replacement Cost (RC).
			(b) Netting Set is a group of transactions with a single
			counterparty that are subject to a legally enforceable bilateral
			netting arrangement and for which netting is recognised for
			regulatory capital purposes. Each transaction that is not
			subject to a legally enforceable bilateral netting arrangement
			that is recognised for regulatory capital purposes should be
			interpreted as its own netting set for the purpose of these
			rules.
			6.3.10.C Requirement for recognition of Bilateral Netting
			Contract:
			(a) NBFC-HFCs may net transactions subject to novation
			under which any obligation between a NBFC-HFC and its
			counterparty to deliver a given currency on a given value date
			is automatically amalgamated with all other obligations for the
			same currency and value date, legally substituting one single
			amount for the previous gross obligations.
			(b) NBFC-HFCs may also net transactions subject to any

Sr. No.	Reference Paragraph	Existing Extract	Amended text in RBI regulation (track change mode)
	<u> </u>		legally valid form of bilateral netting not covered in (a),
			including other forms of novation.
			(c) In both cases (a) and (b), a NBFC-HFC will need to satisfy
			that it has:
			(i) A netting contract or agreement with the counterparty
			which creates a single legal obligation, covering all
			included transactions, such that the NBFC-HFC would
			have either a claim to receive or obligation to pay only
			the net sum of the positive and negative mark-to-market
			values of included individual transactions in the event a
			counterparty fails to perform due to any of the following:
			default, bankruptcy, liquidation or similar circumstances;
			(ii) Written and reasoned legal opinions that, in the
			event of a legal challenge, the relevant courts and
			administrative authorities would find the NBFC-HFC's
			exposure to be such a net amount under:
			 The law of the jurisdiction in which the
			counterparty is chartered and, if the foreign
			branch of a counterparty is involved, then also
			under the law of the jurisdiction in which the

Sr. No.	Reference Paragraph	Existing Extract	Amended text in RBI regulation (track change mode)
	y		branch is located;
			• The law that governs the individual transactions;
			and
			The law that governs any contract or agreement
			necessary to effect the netting.
			(iii) Procedures in place to ensure that the legal
			characteristics of netting arrangements are kept under
			review in the light of possible changes in relevant law.
			(d) Contracts containing walkaway clauses will not be eligible
			for netting for the purpose of calculating capital requirements
			under these guidelines. A walkaway clause is a provision
			which permits a non-defaulting counterparty to make only
			limited payments or no payment at all, to the estate of a
			defaulter, even if the defaulter is a net creditor.
			Credit conversion factors for Credit Default Swaps (CDS):
			6.3.11