### Press Release\*

January 2012

## Reserve Bank Cancels the Licence of Veershaiva Co-operative Bank Ltd., Mumbai (Maharashtra)

January 6, 2012

In view of the fact that Veershaiva Co-operative Bank Ltd., Mumbai (Maharashtra), had ceased to be solvent, all efforts to revive it in close consultation with the Government of Maharashtra had failed and the depositors were being inconvenienced by continued uncertainty, the Reserve Bank of India delivered the order cancelling its licence to the bank as on the close of business on December 30, 2011. The Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Maharashtra has also been requested to issue an order for winding up the bank and appoint a liquidator for the bank. It may be highlighted that on liquidation, every depositor is entitled to repayment of his/her deposits up to a monetary ceiling of ₹1,00,000/- (Rupees One lakh only) from the Deposit Insurance and Credit Guarantee Corporation (DICGC) under usual terms and conditions.

The bank was granted a licence by Reserve Bank on March 26, 1974 to commence banking business. The bank was issued supervisory instructions on June 18, 2007 based on the inspections findings with reference to its financial position as on March 31, 2006 and these supervisory instructions were modified, from time to time, based on the findings of the subsequent inspection reports.

The statutory inspection of the bank under Section 35 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (As Applicable to Co-operative Societies) [the Act], with reference to its financial position as on March 31, 2009 revealed that the assessed networth of the bank was negative and the bank's deposits had eroded to the extent of 13.0 per cent. The CRAR of the bank was (-) 35.4 per cent against the regulatory requirement of 9 per cent. The gross and net NPA were 57.6 per cent and 44.4 per cent

Inspection of the bank with reference to its financial position as on March 31, 2009 also revealed that the bank had sanctioned loans to 192 individuals at its Kandivali branch amounting to ₹21 million through a tie-up arrangement with an auto dealer, M/s Satguru Auto. In about 145 cases the lien endorsements obtained from RTO were found not to be genuine and hence these were treated by the bank as fraudulent transactions. RCS had conducted enquiry under Section 83 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 (in short 'MCS Act, 1960') for the frauds perpetrated at the bank. As informed by RCS, the enquiry officer had submitted his report under section 83 of the MCS Act, 1960 on February 08, 2010 which revealed fraud and misappropriation of funds in respect of auto loans at Kandivili branch and irregularities in other loans sanctioned at Andheri branch. As per the report, apart from the said auto loans, the bank had disbursed other loans having insufficient mortgage security and lack of repayment capacity of borrowers involving 76 loan accounts with total outstanding of ₹625.34 million where the bank may suffer loss.

The statutory inspection of the bank with reference to its financial position as on March 31, 2010 revealed that the financial indicators of the bank has further deteriorated and its assessed net worth stood at ₹(-)338.33 million and assessed CRAR stood at (-) 53.2 per cent. The erosion in deposits increased to 15.9 per cent. The gross and net NPAs formed 69.8 per cent and 58.4 per cent of the gross and net advances respectively. The inspection report was forwarded to the bank on June 24, 2010 for rectification of deficiencies and compliance. However, the compliance report submitted by the bank vide letter dated August 23, 2010 was not

of gross and net advances respectively. The assessed loss stood at ₹335.10 million. Based on the inspection report as on March 31, 2009, the bank was advised on October 29, 2009 not to resort to borrowings, allow premature withdrawal of deposits, grant fresh loans and explore the possibility of merger with a sound UCB.

<sup>\*</sup> Important Press Releases during January 2012.

considered satisfactory. Based on the inspection findings as on March 31, 2010 the bank was advised on August 27, 2010 that existing Supervisory Action/ Operational Instructions will continue.

The statutory inspection of the bank as on March 31, 2011 revealed that the financial position of the bank continued to deteriorate. The assessed net worth as on March 31, 2011 stood at (-)₹523.10 lakh, CRAR stood negative at (-)139.6 per cent deposits have also been eroded to the extent of 33.4 per cent, gross NPA constituted 79.1 per cent of gross advances, net NPA constituted 63.0 per cent and the loss was assessed at ₹365.93 million during 2010-11.

In view of the steep deterioration in its financial position since 2008-09 as revealed by successive inspections from March 31, 2009, the bank was placed under all-inclusive directions under section 35A of the Act vide order UBD.CO.BSD-I/D-46/12.22.293/2011-12 with effect from the close of business on August 3, 2011.

The quality of management and the standard of governance in the bank were poor. The Board was ineffective in laying down policies for management of the key asset portfolio and was not able to ensure observance of even laid down policy guidelines. There was complete absence of efforts for improvement of the bank's financial position. The management had failed to present the true and fair view of affairs of the bank in its published financial statements for several years to the members, depositors and public. The performance of the Board was not satisfactory, as evident from the continued deterioration in the bank's financial position as well as various violations of regulatory guidelines. The management had not displayed sufficient firmness/seriousness in dealing with the staff responsible for the irregularities also recovery of NPAs. The Board of Directors was ineffective and is responsible for deterioration in the financial position of the bank and for conducting the affairs of the bank in a manner detrimental to the interest of the depositors. TAFCUB had also recommended that SCN may be issued to the bank. Accordingly SCN dated August 17, 2011 was issued to the bank requiring it to show cause as to why the licence granted to it under Section 22 of the Act, on March 26, 1974 to carry on banking business should not be cancelled and the bank be taken to liquidation.

The submissions made by the bank in reply to the show cause notice were examined and not found satisfactory. There was no concrete revival plan or merger proposal from the bank.

Therefore, Reserve Bank of India took the extreme measure of cancelling licence of the bank in the interest of bank's depositors. With the cancellation of licence and commencement of liquidation proceedings, the process of paying the depositors of the Veershaiva Cooperative Bank Ltd., Mumbai (Maharashtra), the amount insured as per the DICGC Act, will be set in motion subject to the terms and conditions of the Deposit Insurance Scheme.

Consequent to the cancellation of its licence, Veershaiva Co-operative Bank Ltd., Mumbai (Maharashtra) is prohibited from carrying on 'banking business' as defined in Section 5(b) of the Act.

For any clarifications, depositors may approach Smt. K.S. Jyotsna, Deputy General Manager, Urban Banks Department, Mumbai Regional Office, Reserve Bank of India, Mumbai, whose contact details are as below:

Postal Address: Urban Banks Department, Mumbai Regional Office, Reserve Bank of India, 2nd Floor, Garment House, Dr. A.B. Road, Worli, Mumbai – 400 018, Telephone Number: (022) 24920225, Fax Number: (022) 24935495.

#### The Rajkot Nagrik Sahakari Bank Ltd., Rajkot – Penalised

January 6, 2012

The Reserve Bank of India has imposed a monetary penalty of ₹0.5 million (Rupees half million only) on The Rajkot Nagrik Sahakari Bank Ltd., Rajkot, in exercise of powers vested in it under the provisions of Section 47(A)(1)(b) read with Section 46(4) of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (AACS) for doing activities akin to travel agent, letting out its premises to shops,

doll museum *etc.*, not adhering to clean note policy and not preparing the balance sheet in the format meant for co-operative banks as prescribed under Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (AACS).

The Reserve Bank of India had issued a show cause notice to the bank in response to which the bank submitted a written reply. After considering the facts of the case and the bank's reply as also personal submissions in the matter, the Reserve Bank of India came to the conclusion that the violations were substantiated and warranted imposition of the penalty.

#### Complain to Local Police/Cyber Crime Authorities against Fictitious Offers of Money from Abroad

January 10, 2012

The Reserve Bank of India has today advised members of public to immediately register a complaint with the local police/cyber crime authorities when they receive fictitious offers of money from abroad or if they are victims of such offers. It has also placed, on its website, the list of such nodal agencies with whom the public can register complaints.

The Reserve Bank has, on several occasions in the past, cautioned the members of public against falling prey to fictitious offers/lottery winnings/remittance of cheap funds in foreign currency from abroad by so-called foreign entities/ individuals or to Indian residents acting as representatives of such entities/individuals. It has stated that such offers are fraudulent and has advised the public to immediately register a complaint with the local police/cyber crime authorities when they receive such offers or become a victim of any such fraud.

Members of public have also been cautioned against making any remittance towards participation in such schemes/offers from unknown entities since such remittances are illegal and any resident in India collecting and effecting/remitting such payments directly/indirectly outside India is liable to be proceeded against for contravention of the Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999. They are also liable for violation

of regulations relating to Know Your Customer (KYC) norms/Anti Money Laundering (AML) standards.

The Reserve Bank has further stated that it does not undertake any type of money arrangement, by whatever name called, and it does not take any responsibility for recovering moneys remitted in response to such bogus communication.

#### The Ghandhidham Co-operative Bank Ltd., Adipur, Dist. Kutch (Gujarat) – Penalised

January 12, 2012

The Reserve Bank of India has imposed a monetary penalty of ₹0.5 million on the The Ghandhidham Co-operative Bank Ltd., Adipur, Dist. Kutch (Gujarat), in exercise of powers vested in it under the provisions of Section 47(A)(1)(b) read with Section 46(4) of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (As applicable to Co-operative Societies), for violation of Reserve Bank of India's instructions relating to Know Your Customers (KYC) norms.

The Reserve Bank of India had issued a show cause notice to the bank, in response to which the bank submitted a written reply. After considering the facts of the case and the bank's reply in the matter, the Reserve Bank of India came to the conclusion that the violation was substantiated and warranted imposition of the penalty.

# RBI issues Directions for QFI Investments in Indian Companies and Single-Brand Retail Trading

January 13, 2012

The Reserve Bank of India today issued detailed guidelines enabling Qualified Foreign Investors (QFIs) investments in Indian companies. The guidelines have been issued under Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999.

It was decided to allow Qualified Foreign Investors (QFIs), that is, non-resident investors other than SEBI registered foreign institutional investors (FIIs) and foreign venture capital investors (FVCIs) to invest in

equity shares of Indian companies in order to widen the non-resident investor base in the Indian stock markets as well as to expand the set of non-resident portfolio investors in India.

It also issued directions permitting foreign direct investments (FDI) up to 100 per cent in single brand product trading under the Government route subject to stipulated terms and conditions. This came in the wake of the Government reviewing the FDI policy and allowing 100 per cent FDI in single brand retail product trading (Press Note No. 1 (2012 Series) dated January 10, 2012 issued by Department of Industrial Policy & Promotion, Ministry of Commerce & Industry, Government of India).

#### Issue of ₹100 Banknotes with "R" inset Letter Incorporation of Rupee symbol (₹)

January 18, 2012

The Reserve Bank of India will shortly issue ₹100 denomination Banknotes with "R" inset letter incorporating "₹" symbol, in the Mahatma Gandhi Series-2005 bearing the signature of Dr. D. Subbarao, Governor, Reserve Bank of India, and the year of printing on the reverse of the Banknote.

The design of these notes to be issued now is similar in all respects to the Banknotes of ₹100 in Mahatma Gandhi Series-2005 issued earlier.

All the Banknotes in the denomination of ₹100 issued by the Bank in the past will continue to be legal tender.