

## Press Releases\*

December 2010

### Sampada Sahakari Bank Ltd., Pune, Maharashtra – Penalised

December 10, 2010

The Reserve Bank of India has imposed a monetary penalty of ₹50000.00 (Rupees Fifty thousand only) on Sampada Sahakari Bank Ltd., Pune, Maharashtra in exercise of powers vested in it under the provisions of Section 47(A)(1)(b) read with Section 46(4) of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 for violation of Reserve Bank of India's directive under section 41 of RBI Act, 1934 and section 18, 19, 20, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29 and 31 of Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (AACs) read with Rule 3, 5, 8 and 9 of Banking Regulation (Co-operative Societies) Rules, 1966 for non-submission of various returns.

The Reserve Bank of India had issued a show cause notice to the bank, in response to which the bank submitted written reply. After considering the fact of the case and the bank's reply in the matter, the Reserve Bank came to the conclusion that the violations were substantiated and warranted imposition of the penalty.

### Mid-Quarter Monetary Policy Review: December 2010

December 16, 2010

#### Monetary Measures

It has been decided to:

- retain the repo rate at 6.25 per cent and the reverse repo rate at 5.25 per cent under the Reserve Bank's liquidity adjustment facility (LAF);
- retain the cash reserve ratio (CRR) at 6.0 per cent of net demand and time liabilities (NDTL) of scheduled banks.

#### Liquidity Measures

It has been decided to:

- first, reduce the statutory liquidity ratio (SLR) of scheduled commercial banks (SCBs) from 25 per

cent of their NDTL to 24 per cent with effect from December 18, 2010;

- second, conduct open market operation (OMO) auctions for purchase of government securities for an aggregate amount of ₹48,000 crore in the next one month, the schedule for which is being issued separately.

The above two measures are expected to inject liquidity on an enduring basis of the order of ₹48,000 crore.

Given the permanent reduction in the SLR by one per cent of NDTL, the additional liquidity support under the LAF announced by the Reserve Bank on November 29, 2010 will now be available up to the extent of 1.0 per cent (instead of 2.0 per cent) of the NDTL of SCBs from December 18, 2010 to January 28, 2011.

#### Global Economy

There have been significant global and domestic macroeconomic developments since the announcement of the Second Quarter Review of Monetary Policy on November 2, 2010. A slow recovery and persistent unemployment motivated another round of quantitative easing in the US. However, recent data show some signs of improvement, especially in respect of real GDP and consumer confidence, even though the unemployment rate has increased. Although economic recovery has been progressing in the Europe, financial stability concerns have resurfaced as the sovereign debt problem spread further. Major emerging market economies (EMEs) continue to experience robust growth.

Significantly, despite the slow recovery and slack capacity in advanced economies, international commodity prices such as oil, food, industrial inputs and metals have risen noticeably in recent weeks. Reflecting the strength of demand and higher commodity prices, inflation has started creeping up in most EMEs.

\* Important Press releases during December 2010

## **Domestic Economy**

### *Growth*

GDP growth of 8.9 per cent in Q2 of 2010-11 suggests that domestic momentum remains strong. Agricultural growth has recovered on the back of a good monsoon. After flagging during August-September, the index of industrial production (IIP) grew by over 10 per cent in October 2010. Various indicators of industrial activity, including the Purchasing Managers' Index (PMI) also suggest a strong underlying momentum. Lead indicators of services sector activity have continued to increase at a robust pace. These developments reinforce the Reserve Bank's projection of 8.5 per cent for real GDP growth for 2010-11 which will be reviewed in the Third Quarter Review scheduled on January 25, 2011.

### *Inflation*

After remaining in double digits for five successive months, year-on-year headline WPI inflation declined to 8.8 per cent in August 2010 and further to 7.5 per cent in November 2010. Consumer price (CPI) inflation for industrial workers and rural/agricultural labourers softened to single digit rates from August 2010, after remaining in double-digits for over a year. The overall reduction in inflation reflects moderation of food price inflation following a favourable monsoon. Food price inflation moderated from an average of 15.7 per cent in Q1 of 2010-11 to 12.3 per cent in Q2, to 10.0 per cent in October 2010 and further to 6.1 per cent in November 2010. Amongst food items, the moderation in inflation for cereals and pulses has been larger than that in inflation of protein related food items such as egg, fish, meat and milk reflecting the structural nature of food inflation. In addition, inflation for non-food primary articles such as raw cotton, raw rubber and minerals rose sharply. Reversing the declining trend in the last six months, non-food manufactured products inflation edged up to 5.4 per cent in November 2010.

Though inflation has moderated, inflationary pressures persist both from domestic demand and higher global commodity prices. The pace of decline in food price inflation has been slower than expected

due largely to structural factors. There is a risk that rising international commodity prices will spill over into domestic inflation. Going forward, rising domestic input costs for the manufacturing sector combined with aggregate demand pressures could weigh on domestic inflation. The risk to the Reserve Bank's projection of 5.5 per cent inflation by March 2011 is on the upside.

### *Liquidity*

While the overall liquidity in the system has remained in deficit consistent with the policy stance, the extent of tightness has been beyond the comfort level of the Reserve Bank. This has been mainly due to persistence of large government cash balances which have averaged ₹84,000 crore since the Second Quarter Review of November, mirroring in the average net LAF repo amount of ₹1,01,000 crore. In addition, the liquidity deficit has been accentuated by structural factors such as significantly above-trend currency expansion and relatively sluggish growth in bank deposits even as the credit growth accelerated in 2010-11. While the liquidity deficit improved transmission of monetary policy signals with several banks raising deposit and lending interest rates, excessive deficits induce unpredictability in both availability and cost of funds, making it difficult for the banking system to sustain credit delivery.

In view of the persistent liquidity pressures in November 2010, the Reserve Bank implemented some measures such as additional liquidity support to SCBs under the LAF up to 2.0 per cent of their NDTL, continuation of second LAF, and OMO purchase of government securities. While these measures have helped stabilise overnight interest rates, the extent of deficit could constrain banks' ability to expand their balance sheets commensurate with the productive needs of the economy. The additional liquidity measures initiated by the Reserve Bank respond to these concerns.

As the economy expands, it needs primary liquidity, which will have to be provided in a manner consistent with the monetary policy stance. Such provision of liquidity should not be construed as a

change in the monetary policy stance since inflation continues to remain a major concern. The measures taken in this review need to be appreciated in that context.

### Summing Up

To sum up, the underlying growth momentum of the Indian economy remains strong. Even as inflation has moderated, it remains significantly above the comfort level of the Reserve Bank. Moreover, risks to inflation remain on the upside, both from domestic demand and higher global commodity prices. There is, therefore, a need for continued vigilance on the inflation front against the build-up of demand side pressures. A major challenge for the Reserve Bank in the recent period has been liquidity management. It is the Reserve Bank's endeavour to alleviate the liquidity pressure in a manner consistent with the monetary policy stance of containing inflation and anchoring inflationary expectations.

### Expected Outcomes

The policy actions in this Review are expected to:

- release sizable primary liquidity into the system;
- bring down the liquidity deficit in the system close to the comfort zone of the Reserve Bank; and
- stabilise interest rates in the overnight inter-bank market closer to the operative policy rate of the Reserve Bank.

### Arjun Urban Co-operative Bank Ltd., Solapur, Maharashtra – Penalised

December 23, 2010

The Reserve Bank of India has imposed a monetary penalty of ₹1.00 lakh (Rupees One lakh only) on Arjun Urban Co-operative Bank Ltd., Solapur, Maharashtra in exercise of powers vested in it under the provisions of Section 47(A)(1)(b) read with Section 46(4) of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (AACS) for violation of Reserve Bank of India's directives on exposure limit, unsecured loans and director related loans.

The Reserve Bank of India had issued a show cause notice to the bank, in response to which the bank submitted written reply. After considering the facts of the case and the bank's reply in the matter, the Reserve Bank came to the conclusion that the violations were substantiated and warranted imposition of the penalty.

### Reserve Bank Cancels the Licence of The Golaghat Urban Co-operative Bank Ltd., Golaghat (Assam)

December 23, 2010

In view of the fact that the Golaghat Urban Co-operative Bank Ltd., Golaghat (Assam), had ceased to be solvent, all efforts to revive it had failed and the depositors were being inconvenienced by continued uncertainty, the Reserve Bank of India delivered the order cancelling its licence to the bank after the close of business on December 16, 2010. The Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Government of Assam have also been requested to issue an order for winding up the bank and appoint a liquidator for the bank. It may be highlighted that on liquidation, every depositor is entitled to repayment of his/her deposits up to a monetary ceiling of ₹1,00,000/- (Rupees One lakh only) from the Deposit Insurance and Credit Guarantee Corporation (DICGC) under usual terms and conditions.

Consequent to the cancellation of its licence, the Golaghat Urban Co-operative Bank Ltd., Golaghat, (Assam) is prohibited from carrying on banking business as defined in Section 5(b) of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (AACS) including acceptance and repayment of deposits.

For any clarifications, depositors may approach Shri R. Bhookya, Deputy General Manager, Urban Banks Department, Reserve Bank of India, Guwahati. His contact details are as below :

Postal Address: Urban Banks Department, Reserve Bank of India, Guwahati Regional Office, Station Road, Pan Bazar, Guwahati – 781 001. Telefax Number: (0361) 2635006.

### **Rupee Co-operative Bank Ltd., Pune, Maharashtra – Penalised**

**December 24, 2010**

The Reserve Bank of India has imposed a monetary penalty of ₹5.00 lakh (Rupees Five lakh only) on Rupee Co-operative Bank Ltd., Pune, Maharashtra in exercise of powers vested in it under the provisions of Section 47(A)(1)(b) read with Section 46(4) of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (AACS) for violation of instructions/guidelines of the Reserve Bank of India. The bank had violated the Reserve Bank's directives by purchasing/discounting cheques beyond the ceiling of ₹0.25 lakh in current accounts. The bank had also violated the Reserve Bank's instructions by disbursing loans to individuals for purchase of land for more than ₹25.00 lakh.

The Reserve Bank of India had issued a show cause notice to the bank, in response to which the bank submitted a written reply. After considering the facts of the case and the bank's reply in the matter, the Reserve Bank came to the conclusion that the violations were substantiated and warranted imposition of the penalty.

---

### **Bombay Mercantile Co-operative Bank Ltd., Mumbai, Maharashtra – Penalised**

**December 31, 2010**

The Reserve Bank of India has imposed a monetary penalty of ₹5.00 lakh (Rupees Five lakh only) on Bombay Mercantile Co-operative Bank Ltd., Mumbai, Maharashtra in exercise of powers vested in it under the provisions of Section 47(A)(1)(b) read with Section 46(4) of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 (AACS) for violation of instructions/guidelines of the Reserve Bank of India. The bank had violated the group exposure norms prescribed by the Reserve Bank on June 21, 2005 by sanctioning 21 loans aggregating ₹96.20 crore to Shah group of companies between November 17, 2008 and July 18, 2009 as against permitted ceiling of ₹14.11 crore.

The Reserve Bank of India had issued a show cause notice to the bank, in response to which the bank submitted a written reply. After considering the facts of the case and the bank's reply in the matter, the Reserve Bank came to the conclusion that the violations were substantiated and warranted imposition of the penalty.